

From: Kenneth Filak
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 11/16/01 11:46pm
Subject: Inadequete

In the 1995 consent decree Microsoft promised to build a "chinese wall" between its applications and OS divisions. Microsoft also promised that it would reveal the API's to competitors to ensure a fair competitive environment for competing applications.

When Judge Sporkin refused to sign off on this consent decree the DOJ and MS went forum shopping and got Judge Jackson to sign off on the that consent decree.

Flash forward to the trail held before Judge Jackson and was shown that Microsoft purposefully ignored all the restrictions of the 1995 decree. Every witness that MS presented at the trail were shown to be disassembling and lying. When Judge Jackson expressed his honest outrage in seeing his court be insulted and abused by Microsoft's dishonest tactics Microsoft went forum shopping yet again.

Microsoft is on its 3rd Judge.

The Court of appeals has unanimously agreed that with Judge Jackson's ruling on Microsoft's anti trust criminal behavior.

Microsoft has shown itself to be dishonest and untrustworthy by violating its previous agreements.

But the DOJ wishes to give them yet another bite of the apple. Once again the DoJ wishes to promote a toothless meaningless agreement with an organization that has shown its disregard for the laws of our country.

This is a sham agreement with a corrupt company. Microsoft's excuse is its pursuit of power and greed. What is the excuse of the DOJ for selling out the American public.